UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS WESTERN DIVISION

ERIC J. SCOT	TT,)
	Plaintiff,)
vs.) Case No.: 08 C 50091
Corporation, Cindividually, Cindividually, Cindividually, Cindividually, C	F ROCKFORD, a municipal OFFICER ROSEMARY MATHEWS OFFICER DANIEL BASILE, OFFICER JOSHUA GROVER, OFFICER PAUL GALLAGHER, and OFFICER JUAN TAPIA, Defendants.	
	PARTIES' PROPOSED CASE	MANAGEMENT ORDER
I. September 2, 2	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a r. 2008, and was attended by:	neeting was held in 08 C 50091 on
	Rene Hernandez, Attorney for Plain	iff.
	Angela L. Hammer, Attorney for De	fendants.
II.	The Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) materia	will be exchanged by October 3, 2008.
discussed with court and private would be asso counsels have to be incurred the available A from those opticiscussed the a	ad the Pamphlet governing the court their respective clients the available ate entities, and that counsels have give ciated with the litigation of this matter provided to their clients an estimate of through an early successful mediation ADR options with their clients and had acions. Lastly, if this is a fee shifting cand advantages and disadvantages of make	
	ties have agreed on early mediation r. The mediation shall be held within	has been chosen 60 days of this order. Discovery (shall)(shall
not) be staved	during the 60 days of referral. Pursua	ant to ADR local rules, this case is hereby

referred to mediation. Counsels and parties will submit evaluations to the Court within ten days of the conclusion of mediation.
Parties have agreed on mediation has been chosen as the mediator. The parties believe the best time to mediate would be and request the matter be referred to mediation at that time. Counsels and parties will submit
mediation evaluations to the Court within ten days of the conclusion of mediation. Parties request an immediate settlement conference with the Magistrate Judge.
Parties plan to utilize private ADR. (Parties shall explain the private ADR and when it will take place)
X Parties request this case be excused from ADR.
IV. Discovery Plan. The parties jointly propose to the court the following discovery plan:
A) Discovery will be needed on the following subjects: <u>Liability and damages</u> .
B) Maximum of 30 interrogatories by each party to any other party.
C) Maximum of 30 requests for admission by each party to any other party.
D) Maximum of $\underline{10}$ depositions by Plaintiff(s) and $\underline{10}$ by Defendants(s).
E) Each deposition shall be limited to a maximum of 3 hours unless extended by agreement of the parties.
F) Fact discovery cut-off is set for May 1, 2009.
G) Report from retained expert for the Plaintiff under Rule 26(a)(2) due [Reserved].
Deposition of Plaintiff's expert shall be taken by [Reserved]. Report from retained expert for [Reserved].
Defendant under rule 26(a)(2) due [Reserved]. Deposition of Defendant's expert shall be taken by [Reserved]. Supplementations under Rule 26(e) will be scheduled by the court at the request of the parties.
H) All discovery shall be cut off by [Reserved] (should be no longer than date for Defendant's expert deposition).
I) Time for the parties to amend pleadings and add counts or parties is hereby established as November 1, 2008.

Attorney for Defendants

J) The parties suggest the next discovery conference with the court be <u>December 10, 2008.</u>

All dispositive motions will be due 30 days after the fact discovery cut-off date unless otherwise ordered by the court and the parties agree pleadings, motions and briefs may be exchanged by e-mail, fax or other electronic means.